Acknowledgment. We thank the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for a grant in support of this work.

Registry No. 1, 105282-83-5; 2, 105282-78-8; 3, 105282-79-9; 4, 105282-80-2; 5, 105282-81-3; 6, 105282-82-4; 1,1-dimethyl-2-tetralone. 20027-76-3; 3-bromo-1,1-dimethyl-2-naphthalenone, 105282-76-6; 3bromo-2-chloro-1,1-dimethyl-1,2-dihydronapthalene, 105282-77-7; 1,3diphenylisobenzofuran, 5471-63-6; 4,4-dimethyl-2-tetralone, 83810-57-5.

## Methylidene Migratory Insertion into an Ru-H Bond

Emily A. Carter and William A. Goddard III\*

Contribution No. 7409 Arthur Amos Noyes, Laboratory of Chemical Physics California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91125 Received May 5, 1986

The migratory insertions of CH, fragments into transitionmetal-hydrogen and transition-metal-alkyl bonds have long been proposed as chain initiation and propagation steps in the Fisch-er-Tropsch synthesis of hydrocarbons.<sup>1</sup> Particularly for ruthenium, an effective heterogeneous catalyst for the production of high molecular weight polymethylenes,<sup>2</sup> there is strong indirect evidence that the chain growth mechanism involves methylidene insertion into growing alkyl chains.<sup>1,3</sup> Several experiments on homogeneous systems point to the facility of direct CH<sub>2</sub> insertions into both M-H and M-R bonds.<sup>4</sup> Thorn and Tulip<sup>5a</sup> proposed that acidification of a hydrido hydroxymethyliridium complex proceeds via a hydridomethyleneiridium intermediate which undergoes CH<sub>2</sub> insertion into the Ir-H bond to yield an iridium methyl complex. Upon hydrogen abstraction from mononuclear metal dimethyl complexes, Thorn and Tulip,<sup>5b</sup> as well as Cooper,<sup>6</sup> Maitlis,<sup>7</sup> and Werner,<sup>8</sup> have postulated the intermediacy of methyl methylidene metal complexes which insert CH<sub>2</sub> into M-CH<sub>3</sub> and then  $\beta$ -hydride eliminate en route to the formation of ethylene hydride complexes. Thus these studies suggest that both the chain initiation and propagation steps in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis may be facile even at a single metal center.

As a model for these important elementary reactions, we have used ab initio quantum mechanical techniques to investigate the migratory insertion of CH2 into an adjacent Ru-H bond. To our knowledge, these calculations provide the first quantitative description of the energetics of such a reaction, including evaluations of both the activation barrier to insertion as well as the relative stabilities of the reactant and product. The reaction pathway is depicted below



2

where 1 is a model for 18-electron complexes such as  $(C_5H_5)$ -

- (3) (a) Brady, R. C., III; Pettit, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6181. (b) Ibid. 1981, 103, 1287. (c) Baker, J. A.; Bell, A. T. J. Catal. 1982, 78, 165-181
- (4) The first observation of general alkylidene insertions into M-R bonds
- was by: Sharp, P. R.; Schrock, R. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 171, 43.
  (5) (a) Thorn, D. L.; Tulip, T. H. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1580. (b)
  Thorn, D. L.; Tulip, T. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5984.
- (6) Hayes, J. C.; Pearson, G. D. N.; Cooper, N. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4648.
- (7) Isobe, K.; Andrews, D. G.; Mann, B. E.; Maitlis, P. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 809. (8) Kletzin, H.; Werner, H.; Serhadli, O.; Ziegler, M. L. Angew. Chem.,

Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 46.



Figure 1. Reaction coordinate for the insertion of CH2 into Ru-H in 1 to form  $ClRu(CH_3)$  (2) at the HF, GVB-PP(3/6), GVB-RCI(3/6), GVBCI(3/6), and GVBCI(3/6)-MCSCF levels. Energy (kcal/mol) is plotted relative to the total energy for 2 vs. R(Ru-H)/[R(Ru-H) +R(C-H)] (normalized reaction coordinate). Also shown at the top are the corresponding H-Ru-C angles (deg). The full GVBCI-MCSCF leads simultaneously to a proper description of both the reactant-like and product-like configurations important at the transition state and hence to a smooth potential curve. Some lower level calculations lead to a less smooth transition, the wave function being less capable of simultaneous description of both reactant and product channels.

 $(PPh_3)Ru(R)(CH_2)$  (3) or  $[(C_6Me_6)(PPh_3)Ru(CH_3)(CH_2)]^+$  (4), the intermediate postulated by Werner.<sup>8</sup> As discussed previously,<sup>9</sup> 1 conforms to the valence bond (VB) view of oxidation states in which electronegative ligands may remove no more than two units of charge from the metal (the easily ionized s-electrons), leaving the other ligands to form covalent bonds to unpaired d-electrons (or to form donor bonds into empty metal valence orbitals). Thus ligands with large electron affinities<sup>10</sup> such as Cp ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) and Cl form rather ionic bonds with the metal valence electrons, while neutral  $\pi$ -donor ligands (e.g.,  $\pi$ -aryls) and phosphines require empty metal valence orbitals. Finally, ligands with unpaired electrons (and small electron affinities, e.g., CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>, H, NO, etc.) require unpaired metal d-electrons with which to form covalent bonds. As a result, we believe the singlet state of 1 is a good model for 3 and 4, since all three complexes have a metal VB oxidation state of +1. Ru(I) is d<sup>7</sup>, with three unpaired delectrons to form covalent bonds to R and CH<sub>2</sub> in 1, 3, and 4.

Consider the process of inserting the CH<sub>2</sub> ligand into the Ru-H bond to form an Ru-CH<sub>3</sub> species. We begin with an Ru-H bond and two Ru-C in-plane bonds (one  $\sigma$  and one  $\pi$ ) which are converted to a C-H bond, one Ru-C bond and an Ru d lone pair. Notice that the presence of the in-plane  $\pi$ -bond<sup>11</sup> suggests a



<sup>(9)</sup> Carter, E. A.; Goddard, W. A., III J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2180. (10) The electron affinities of Cp and Cl are 2.2 eV (Rosenstock, H. M.; Draxl, K.; Steiner, B. W.; Herron, J. T. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1977, 6, 736-772) and 3.62 eV (Hotop, H.; Lineberger, W. C. J. Chem. Phys. Ref. Data 1975, 4, 539-576), respectively.

<sup>(1)</sup> Biloen, P.; Sachtler, W. M. H. Adv. Catal. 1981, 30, 165

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Anderson, R. B. In Catalysis; Emmett, P. H., Ed.; Reinhold: New York, 1956; Vol. IV, pp 237-242. (b) Pichler, H.; Buffleb, H. Brennst.-Chem. 1940, 21, 257, 273, 285.

<sup>(11)</sup> This conformation is the lowest energy orientation for 1: Carter, E. A.; Goddard, W. A., III, manuscript in preparation.



Figure 2. GVB(3/6)PP one-electron orbitals near the transition state  $(\Theta(H-Ru-C) = 50.0^{\circ})$ . (a) Orbital pair describing the Ru-H bond of the reactant 1 and the C-H bond of the product 2; (b) orbital pair describing the Ru–C  $\sigma$ -bond for both 1 and 2; (c) orbital pair describing the Ru-C  $\pi$ -bond of 1 and the Ru d $\pi$  lone pair of 2. Contours are shown at intervals of 0.05 au.

smooth transition from an Ru-H to a C-H bond may be possible, since the in-plane carbon p-orbital is oriented correctly for formation of the in-plane C-H bond. Indeed, at the highest level of theory examined, we find that the  $CH_2$  insertion into Ru-H proceeds with a low activation barrier (11.5 kcal/mol) and is thermodynamically favorable, with an exothermicity of 7.1 kcal/mol, as displayed in the reaction coordinate of Figure 1. Notice that the transition state occurs approximately halfway between reactants and products, as expected for a reaction which is nearly thermoneutral (Hammond postulate).

Figure 2 shows the orbitals near the transition state  $[\Theta(H-$ Ru-C) = 50°]. Here we see that the Ru-H bond smoothly converts into the C-H bond (Figure 2a), while the Ru-C  $\sigma$ -bond (Figure 2b) does not change significantly. At the transition state, the Ru-C  $\pi$ -bond (Figure 2c) has begun to move out of the way of the incipient C–H bond and already has substantial Ru d lone-pair character.  $^{12}\,$  The Ru–C and Ru–H bonds at the transition state have lengthened significantly from their values in 1, increasing from 1.87 to 1.93 Å for Ru-C and from 1.65 to 1.77 Å for Ru-H.

The exothermicity, activation barrier, and transition-state geometry were calculated at five levels of theory, as shown in Figure 1.<sup>13</sup> The geometries along the reaction coordinate were predicted by analytic gradients of Hartree-Fock wave functions,<sup>14</sup> with all geometrical parameters optimized at each H-Ru-C angle.<sup>15</sup> In the highest level of theory considered (the bottom curve of Figure 1), we optimize the six active orbitals (the orbitals actively involved in the insertion, namely, the Ru-H and the Ru-C  $\sigma$ - and  $\pi$ -bond pairs) self-consistently for a full six-electron CI within those six orbitals (all occupations of six electrons in six orbitals-the GVB(3/6)CI-MCSCF level). This level allows a balanced description of the three bond pairs changing during the reaction. Higher level, extended basis dissociation-consistent CI calculations<sup>16</sup> on various dissociation processes involving these species<sup>13</sup> suggest that the true exothermicity is 10.4 kcal/mol, in good agreement with our MCSCF calculations.

. In conclusion, we have shown that alkylidene migratory insertions can be quite facile, proceeding with a low activation barrier. These calculations provide the first quantitative evidence for the feasibility of this elementary reaction (previously postulated based on experimental results,4-8 but never directly observed). These results suggest that for Ru, the reverse reaction of  $\alpha$ -hydrogen elimination is subject to a barrier of 18.6 kcal/mol. This is consistent with the fact that  $\alpha$ -H eliminations most often occur for the early transition metals. Work in progress on the related reaction of CH<sub>2</sub> insertion into an Ru-alkyl bond suggests an exothermicity of 4.9 kcal/mol. The activation barrier will probably be higher than that for H due to the necessary reorientation of the alkyl upon migration from Ru to CH2.<sup>17</sup> The alkyl migration differs primarily from the hydride energetics because the incipient C-C bond is weaker than the incipient C-H bond. While our calculations suggest that late transition metals undergo CH<sub>2</sub> insertion with relative ease, early metal alkylidenes have been observed that do not insert into M-R bonds. We believe that this is due to the much greater strength of the M–C  $\pi$ -bond for the early transition metals.18

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the Shell Development Co., Houston, TX, and the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE83-18041). E.A.C. gratefully acknowledges the support of a National Science Foundation Predoctoral Fellowship (1982–1985).

(16) Bair, R. A.; Goddard, W. A., III, unpublished results. Bair, R. A. Ph.D. thesis, Caltech, 1980. Carter, E. A.; Goddard, W. A., III J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88, 1485. Reference 9.

 (17) Low, J. J.; Goddard, W. A., III Organometallics 1986, 5, 609.
 (18) Carter, E. A.; Goddard, W. A., III J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 4746.

## Carbyne-Carbyne Ligand Coupling on a Single **Tungsten Center**

Gregory A. McDermott and Andreas Mayr\*

Department of Chemistry, Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey 08544 Received September 10, 1986

The coupling of two carbyne ligands on a single transition-metal center has been analyzed theoretically by Hoffmann, Wilker, and Einsenstein.<sup>1</sup> These authors concluded that the reaction should be allowed for systems containing six electrons in the metal-ligand  $\pi$ -orbitals. In Fischer-type carbyne complexes, e.g., [(W== CPh)Br(CO)<sub>4</sub>] (1), there are six electrons in metal-ligand  $\pi$ orbitals.2 The carbyne complex 1 is derived from tungsten hexacarbonyl, itself a six- $\pi$ -electron system, by transformation of a carbonyl ligand into a carbyne ligand. Since the number of  $\pi$ -electrons is not changed during this reaction, a second transformation of one of the remaining carbonyl ligands in 1 into a carbyne ligand should lead to a tungsten bis(carbyne) system with

<sup>(12)</sup> By the point at which  $\Theta(H-Ru-C) = 40.0^\circ$ , the Ru d lone pair is fully formed. (The equilibrium geometry of  $CIRuCH_3$  has an H-Ru-C angle of 23.2°.)

<sup>(13)</sup> Full details to be published elsewhere. A valence double-5 quality basis was used.

<sup>(14)</sup> Hartree-Fock (HF) calculations are known to predict accurate geometries. As a test, we optimized the geometry of 1 at both the HF and GVB-RCI(3/6) levels and found that the two geometries were very similar (e.g., all bond lengths and angles differed by at most 0.03 Å and 11.9°, respectively); see ref 11.

<sup>(15)</sup> The geometries of 1 and 2 were optimized with no restrictions except the retention of  $C_s$  symmetry (lower symmetry cases were found to be higher in energy; ref 11).

<sup>(1) (</sup>a) Hoffmann, R.; Wilker, C. N.; Eisenstein, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 632-634. (b) Wilker, C. N.; Hoffmann, R.; Eisenstein, O. Nouv. J. Chim. 1983, 7, 535-544.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Fischer, E. O.; Schubert, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 100, 59-81. (b) Kostić, N. M.; Fenske, R. F. Organometallics 1982, 1, 489-496.